3.2 Alignment with FDIS-RA

FDIS-RA provides financial-domain architectural precedent for systems that interpret, validate, compare, and govern regulated data. The Financial Systems Archetype aligns with FDIS-RA by treating meaning, interpretation, validation, provenance, comparability, and evidence as architectural concerns rather than implementation by-products. FDIS-RA does not replace SIP-RA as the parent reference architecture. Instead, FDIS-RA informs the financial-domain interpretation concerns that the Financial Systems Archetype must preserve. Part 1 uses FDIS-RA as an alignment source for the following conceptual concerns.

Table 3-2: FDIS-RA concerns aligned with the Conceptual Architecture

FDIS-RA concern	**Part 1 treatment**
Semantic interpretation as an architectural concern	Defines semantics, interpretation, and governance of meaning as conceptual concerns.
Separation of evidence, observation, interpretation, validation, and analytical consumption	Defines separation of concerns and runtime-plane concepts.
Traceability and provenance	Defines Traceability and Evidence as core conceptual elements.
Auditability and defensibility	Treats evidence as an architectural concern rather than a test by-product.
Comparability across systems and time	Preserves conceptual meaning independently from implementation technology.
Technology neutrality	Avoids binding conceptual architecture to specific products, tools, languages, middleware, or deployment platforms.
Long-term governance of meaning	Defines governance of meaning as a conceptual architecture principle.