

OWL Semantics

[Go up to Terms and Definitions](#)

Discussion

OWL semantics defines meaning through [OWL](#) ontology constructs, axioms, class expressions, property expressions, individuals, assertions, and formally defined inference rules.

OWL, the Web Ontology Language, provides an [ontology language](#) for the Semantic Web. OWL semantics gives formal meaning to OWL expressions, enabling software tools and reasoners to interpret OWL ontologies consistently.

OWL semantics differs from [07-ontological-semantics](#). Ontological semantics concerns the domain commitments expressed by an ontology. OWL semantics concerns the formal interpretation of OWL content.

OWL semantics also differs from [semantics](#) as a whole. OWL expresses selected ontology content using a formal ontology language. Semantics includes additional forms of meaning expressed through terminology, vocabularies, schemas, reports, type systems, rules, policies, lifecycle models, implementation artefacts, and conformance tests.

OWL semantic content includes:

- Classes
- Object properties
- Data properties
- Individuals
- Axioms
- Class expressions
- Property restrictions
- Equivalence assertions
- Disjointness assertions
- Subclass relationships
- Domain and range assertions
- Cardinality restrictions
- Individual assertions
- Consistency conditions
- Inference commitments
- Reasoner-derived classifications

A governed architecture preserves [traceability](#) from OWL semantics to the [ontology](#), [conceptual model](#), vocabulary, rule set, schema, report, or other semantic source that establishes the intended domain meaning.

Definition

meaning defined through OWL ontology constructs, axioms, assertions, class expressions, property expressions, and formally specified inference rules

Source

DIDO Solutions usage is informed by W3C Web Ontology Language (OWL), OWL semantics, ontology engineering practice, semantic modelling practice, and model-driven architecture principles.

Note

OWL semantics does not define all semantics. OWL semantics defines the formal interpretation of OWL expressions. Domain meaning remains governed by the conceptual model, ontology commitments, vocabulary, definitions, rules, policies, reports, schemas, and other authoritative semantic sources.

OWL reasoning derives consequences from OWL axioms under OWL semantics. OWL reasoning does not replace business judgment, policy interpretation, conformance testing, or implementation validation.

Example

An FX OWL ontology expresses selected ontology content using OWL classes, properties, and axioms.

OWL content	OWL semantic meaning
FXTrade declared as a class	individuals classified as FXTrade belong to the class of FX trades
ConfirmedFXTrade subclass of FXTrade	every confirmed FX trade is also an FX trade
hasCounterparty declared as an object property	the property relates one resource to another resource
hasSettlementDate declared as a data property	the property relates a trade to a data value representing a settlement date
ConfirmedFXTrade disjoint with RejectedFXTrade	no individual belongs to both classes at the same time under the ontology
FXTrade restricted to exactly two counterparties	an individual trade conforms to this OWL constraint only when it has two counterparty relationships

OWL semantics supports formal processing of these expressions. For example, when Trade - 123 is asserted as a ConfirmedFXTrade, an OWL reasoner also classifies Trade - 123 as an FXTrade because ConfirmedFXTrade is a subclass of FXTrade.

This inference expresses OWL semantics. The business meaning of confirmation still comes from the

FX conceptual model, lifecycle rules, validation rules, and governance context.

© 2026 Dido Solutions, Inc. and Jackrabbit Consulting, Inc.

From:

<https://wiki.didosolutions.com/> - **Dido Solutions, Inc Wiki**

Permanent link:

<https://wiki.didosolutions.com/dido/05-semantics/01-kinds-of-semantics/08-owl-semantics?rev=1783504927>

Last update: **2026/07/08 03:02**

